

Case Report

Muir–Torre syndrome – An Indian case report

Janarthan Babu¹, Surya Balakrishnan²

¹Department of Medical Oncology, Asian Institute of Gastroenterology, ²Department of Clinical Genetics, Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.



*Corresponding author:

Janarthan Babu,
Department of Medical
Oncology, Asian Institute of
Gastroenterology, Hyderabad,
Telangana, India.

janarthanbabu@gmail.com

Received : 07 February 2021

Accepted : 23 February 2021

Published : 29 May 2021

DOI

10.25259/IJMIO_5_2020

Quick Response Code:



ABSTRACT

We report a case of Muir–Torre syndrome in an Indian male patient with strong family history of colon cancer. Next generation sequencing (NGS) based exome analysis revealed a heterozygous NM_000249.4:c.793C>T (p.Arg265Cys) pathogenic variant in MutL Homolog 1 gene. Familial cancers need to be genetically evaluated to identify “at-risk” family members, aid pre-symptomatic testing, and institute appropriate surveillance and preventive measures.

Keywords: Familial cancer, Muir–Torre syndrome, Lynch syndrome Type 2, Exome sequencing

INTRODUCTION

Familial cancer syndromes account for 5–10% of total cancer cases. Widespread genetic testing has unveiled molecular pathologies in more than 200 cancer syndromes. We report a case of Muir–Torre syndrome (MTS) in a male patient with strong family history of colon cancer, where next-generation sequencing (NGS)-based whole exome analysis revealed a pathogenic variant in MutL Homolog 1 (*MLH1*) gene.

CASE

A 42-year-old male was referred in view of recently detected colon cancer. He was initiated on regular surveillance through colonoscopy since 2015, when his elder sister was diagnosed with colorectal cancer. Before that, his father was diagnosed with colon cancer and succumbed at the age of 56 years. There was no history of any skin lesions/tumors in the family. Our patient was found to have a pedunculated polyp on his first colonoscopy and underwent polypectomy and biopsy. There was no histopathological evidence for malignancy and a conservative management followed. His annual colonoscopy findings were normal over the next 5 years.

The following year, colonoscopy could not be performed in the scheduled time due to the viral pandemic. The patient developed bleeding per rectum, progressive abdominal pain and on evaluation, was found to have an ulceroproliferative lesion measuring 4 cm × 4 cm along the transverse colon. Carcinoembryonic antigen levels were elevated to 20 ng/mL (normal range: 0–4.7). Positron emission tomography showed FDG avid heterogeneously enhancing, asymmetric, circumferential mural thickening involving mid-transverse colon with few perilesional lymph nodes. The patient underwent extended right hemicolectomy in view of the above findings.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

©2021 Published by Scientific Scholar on behalf of International Journal of Molecular & Immuno Oncology

The resected specimen showed features consistent with moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma. Immunohistochemistry for the study of mismatch repair (MMR) proteins showed loss of expression for *MLH1* and post-meiotic segregation 2 (PMS2), suggesting microsatellite instability (MSI). Exome sequencing was performed on patient's blood sample to look for pathogenic variants associated with Lynch syndrome or related familial cancer syndromes. A heterozygous NM_000249.4:c.793C>T (p.Arg265Cys) variant was identified in exon 10 of *MLH1* and *PMS2* gene. This variant is absent from population databases and has been previously reported "pathogenic" across multiple mutation databases – ClinVar, Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man, and human genome mutation database. Thus, he was diagnosed with *MLH1*-associated autosomal dominant hereditary non-polyposis colon cancer (HNPCC) syndrome Type 2 or MTS. Familial segregation studies could not be performed since blood samples of other affected family members were unavailable.

DISCUSSION

MTS is a distinct germ line disorder with susceptibility to cancers and cutaneous lesions and was described independently by Muir *et al.* and Torre.^[1,2] It is a rare Lynch syndrome/HNPCC variant, with a reported incidence of 1:350^[3,4] and is caused due to pathogenic mutations in MMR proteins. The defective proteins render the cell susceptible to genetic insults and hasten oncogenesis. Most commonly implicated genes include *MLH1*, MutS homolog (MSH)2, MSH6, and PMS2.^[5] MutL-alpha is a heterodimer of *MLH1* and PMS2, which explains why loss of *MLH1* results in loss of PMS2 expression as well, as witnessed in our patient's IHC.^[6] Muir-Torre accounts for <10% of HNPCC, with slight male preponderance and a variable penetrance.^[4,5,7] The hallmark cutaneous tumors include sebaceous adenoma, keratoacanthoma, and epithelium. The cutaneous lesions may themselves be undifferentiated in few cases, with histological features of malignancy and evidence of MSI. The most common systemic malignancy reported in this syndrome is colorectal cancer followed by urothelial cancer and they usually predate cutaneous lesions by several years in more than 50% of cases.^[8,9] Cancers of endometrium, ovary, breast, etc., are relatively less common.^[10]

MTS has an autosomal dominant inheritance, can affect both males and females, with a recurrence risk of 50% among siblings/offspring. Autosomal recessively inherited MTS is associated with mutations of *MYH*, where the typical MSI is absent.^[5] Screening may be offered for other family members through targeted variant analysis by Sanger sequencing. Mutations identified in the family have important implications in recognizing asymptomatic at-risk relatives,

offering accurate genetic counseling, initiating regular surveillance, and instituting specific measures for potential prevention or early cure of cancers. Surveillance must include annual endoscopy/colonoscopy, ultrasonography, breast examination, urinalysis with cytology, and dermatological consult starting at the age of 20–25 years. Prenatal diagnosis in familial cancers is controversial in view of variable expressivity, variable penetrance, availability of appropriate surveillance measures, and treatment modalities.

Most case reports on MTS can be found in dermatological publications, with diagnosis mainly based on identification of typical cutaneous lesions and retrospective elucidation of family history of various cancers. Very few reports have genetic diagnosis, probably due to the relative scarcity of genetic testing services and geneticists, until recently. Lack of specific therapeutic options has also discouraged most other specialists from actively evaluating such cases, despite its implications on the entire family. The clinicopathologic features and patient demographics from few recent case reports are compared in Table 1.^[7,11-16]

Published literature on MTS is hardly rare, but our case report attempts to illustrate by example, the crucial role of genetic diagnosis, in providing psychological closure and improved awareness in dealing with the disease. This is the only scenario, where finding diagnosis in a single patient can save several others. Genetic testing also reveals common mutations in the population, allows accurate genotype-phenotype correlation, and may guide discovery of novel targeted therapies.

This case report also reiterates the significance of detailed history and clinical information in evaluating patients with familial cancers, in general. Young age onset, positive family history, and associated systemic signs should hint any clinician regarding the possibility of an underlying genetic disorder and should be promptly evaluated in consult with a geneticist. Genetic counseling addresses specific family concerns, to enable well-informed decisions and patient cooperation on further management of disease. In the current era, when genetic services are readily available, this opportunity should never be missed.

CONCLUSION

Familial cancers must be actively evaluated using NGS-based focused/exome analysis, especially when there is clinical and histopathological evidence of genetic etiology. Definitive molecular diagnosis enables accurate prognostication, screening of at-risk relatives, and institution of appropriate surveillance and treatment. It also provides a deeper insight into the genetic etiopathogenesis of these cancer syndromes, to facilitate appropriate modification of clinical guidelines and enable discovery of novel targeted therapies.

Table 1: Comparison of demographic and clinical features of recently reported patients with Muir-Torre syndrome.

Study	Age of the index patient	Affected family members	Type of cancers in the family	Type of skin lesion	Site of skin lesions	Immunohistochemistry	Molecular diagnosis
Arch Dermatol. 2006, Marazza <i>et al.</i>	54 Y/M	3	Colorectal cancer	Large sebaceous adenomas, epitheliomas	Nose and back	Loss of MSH-2 and MSH-6	NA
Cutis 2008, Hare HIT, Mahendrakar <i>et al.</i>	52 Y/F 55/M	>5 >5	Colorectal, colon, gastric, uterine, and urethral cancer, and gastric cancer	Face	Sebaceous carcinoma Actinic keratosis, sq cell carcinoma, malignant melanoma	Loss of MSH2 Loss of MSH2 and MSH6	NA.
J Clin Aesthet Dermatol. 2009, Higgins <i>et al.</i>	81 Y/M	0	Colorectal cancer	Basal cell carcinoma, actinic keratosis, and sebaceous adenoma	Face, trunk, and back	NA	NA
Indian Journal of Dermatology, Venerology, 2014, Kansal and Awns	65 Y/M	5	Gastric cancer, colorectal cancer, breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and cutaneous malignancy	Squamous cell carcinoma	Right ale of nose, back, and forehead	NA	NA
Case reports in dermatological medicine, 2016, Kaitlin vanderback <i>et al.</i>	57 Y/F	7	Endometrial adenocarcinoma, colorectal carcinoma, glioblastoma multiforme, osteosarcoma	Sebaceoma	Back	Loss of MS112	NA
BMC Nephrology, 2019, Tomonari <i>et al.</i>	43 Y/F	0	Colorectal cancer	Sebaceous carcinoma	Head	Loss of MSH2 and MSH6	1226_1227delAG in the MSH2 exon 7
Case Reports in Ophthalmology, 2019, Burris, Rodriguez <i>et al.</i>	44 Y/M	2	Colorectal, uterine, endometrial, skin cancers	Sebaceous adenoma	Left lower eyelid	Loss of MSH-2 and MSH-6	Heterozygous germ line MSH2 mutation (c. 1226_1227del)
Our patient, 2020	42 Y/M	2	Colorectal cancer	Nil	NA	Loss of MLH1 and PMS2	Heterozygous c. 793C>T in exon 10 of <i>MLH1</i>

Declaration of patient consent

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Muir EG, Bell AJ, Barlow KA. Multiple primary carcinomata of the colon, duodenum, and larynx associated with keratoacanthomata of the face. *Br J Surg* 1967;54:191-5.
2. Torre D. Multiple sebaceous tumors. *Arch Dermatol* 1968;98:549-51.
3. Boland CR, Shike M. Report from the Jerusalem workshop on lynch syndrome-hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. *Gastroenterology* 2010;138:2197.e1-7.
4. South CD, Hampel H, Comeras I, Westman JA, Frankel WL, de la Chapelle A. The frequency of Muir-Torre syndrome among Lynch syndrome families. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2008;100:277-81.
5. Gay JT, Troxell T, Gross GP. Muir-Torre Syndrome. In: *Stat Pearls*. Treasure Island, FL: Stat Pearls Publishing; 2020.
6. Kadyrov FA, Dzantiev L, Constantin N, Modrich P. Endonucleolytic function of MutLalpha in human mismatch repair. *Cell* 2006;126:297-308.
7. Kansal A, Aggarwal S, Gupta D. Muir-Torre syndrome. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol* 2014;80:356-8.
8. Cohen PR, Kohn SR, Kurzrock R. Association of sebaceous gland tumours and internal malignancy: The Muir-Torre syndrome. *Am J Med* 1991;90:606-13.
9. Akhtar S, Oza KK, Khan SA, Wright J. Muir-Torre syndrome: Case report of a patient with concurrent jejunal and ureteral cancer and a review of the literature. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 1999;41:681-6.
10. Jones B, Oh C, Mangold E, Egan CA. Muir-Torre syndrome: Diagnostic and screening guidelines. *Aust J Dermatol* 2006;47:266-9.
11. Marazza G, Masouyé I, Taylor S, Prins C, Gaudin T, Saurat JH, *et al*. An illustrative case of Muir-Torre syndrome: Contribution of immunohistochemical analysis in identifying indicator sebaceous lesions. *Arch Dermatol* 2006;142:1039-42.
12. Hare HH, Mahendraker N, Sarwate S, Tangella K. Muir-Torre syndrome: A rare but important disorder. *Cutis* 2008;82:252-6.
13. Higgins HJ, Voutsalath M, Holland JM. Muir-Torre syndrome: A case report. *J Clin Aesthet Dermatol* 2009;2:30-2.
14. Vanderbeck KA, Sibbald RG, Murugan N. Generational expression of Muir-Torre syndrome in a Canadian family. *Case Rep Dermatol Med* 2016;2016:1712527.
15. Tomonari M, Shimada M, Nakada Y, Yamamoto I, Itoh M, Koike Y, *et al*. Muir-Torre syndrome: Sebaceous carcinoma concurrent with colon cancer in a kidney transplant recipient; a case report. *BMC Nephrol* 2019;20:394.
16. Burris CK, Rodriguez ME, Raven ML, Reddy DN, Xu YG, Wiggs JL, *et al*. Muir-Torre syndrome: The importance of a detailed family history. *Case Rep Ophthalmol* 2019;10:180-5.

How to cite this article: Babu J, Balakrishnan S. Muir-Torre syndrome – An Indian case report. *Int J Mol Immuno Oncol* 2021;6(2):93-6.

NEWS

2nd Annual Conference of Asian Cardio Oncology Society

27th to 29th August 2021

Program Director – Dr Vivek Agarwala, Dept of Medical Oncology, NH Hospital,

Kolkata drvivekagarwala@gmail.com 88792-22875

Conference Managers – Kavina Creations

kashish@kavinacreations.com 9819025850